BEST AVAILABLE COPY

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's fi	le reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application	. M.		
PCT/GB2005/0001		International filing date (day/month/year) 14.01.2005	Priority date (day/month/year) 14.01.2004
International Patent Cla	ssification (IPC) or na	ational classification and IPC	
C07F9/48			
Applicant			
STYLACATS LIMIT	TED et al.		
This report is th Authority under	e international prel Article 35 and tran	iminary examination report, establishe smitted to the applicant according to A	ed by this International Preliminary Examining Article 36.
2. This REPORT of	consists of a total o	f 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.	
This report is all	so accompanied by	ANNEXES, comprising:	
a. 🛭 sent to ti	he applicant and to	the International Bureau) a total of 3	sheets, as follows:
⊠ shee and/	ts of the description	n, claims and/or drawings which have	been amended and are the basis of this report hority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the
	ets which supersede and the disclosure in Demental Box.	e earlier sheets, but which this Author n the international application as filed,	ity considers contain an amendment that goes , as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the
b. 🗌 (sent to t	he International Ru	reau only) a total of (indicate time and	Amounts of the second
sequence Box Rela	e listing and/or table ting to Sequence L	es related thereto, in computer readab- isting (see Section 802 of the Adminis	number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a ble form only, as indicated in the Supplemental strative Instructions).
This report conta	ains indications rela	ting to the following items:	
☑ Box No. I			
Box No. II	Basis of the opini	on	
Box No. III	•	of opinion with repeat to include	
☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of in	vention	ventive step and industrial applicability
☑ Box No. V	Reasoned statem	ent under Article 35(2) with regard to ons and explanations supporting such	novelty, inventive step or industrial
☐ Box No. VI	Certain document	s cited	, statemen
Box No. VII	Certain defects in	the international application	
☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observation	ons on the international application	
Date of submission of the	demand		
	Comand	Date of completion	on of this report
20.05.2005		09.12.2005	
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:		Authorized Office	OF Falso.
European P D-80298 Mi	atent Office	D	111
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465		epmu d Richter, H	
1 ax. +49 85	2088 - 4465	Telephone No. +	49 89 2399-8539

BEST AVAILABLE COP

INTER' TIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

10/586204 International application No. PCT/GB2005/000125

_	Pau Na I	(AP20 Per's POT/PTO 13 JUL 2006)	
-	Box No. I	The state of the s	-
1	. With regar filed, unles	ard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was	s
	☐ inte	report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, in is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: ternational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) ublication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) ternational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)	
2.	. With regard have been report as "d	rd to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets which</i> in furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):	,
	Description	n, Pages	
	1-97	as originally filed	
	Claims, Nun	mbers	
	1-9	received on 20.05.2005 with letter of 19.05.2005	
	☐ a seque	uence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing	
3.	☐ the d☐ the d☐ the d☐ the d☐	mendments have resulted in the cancellation of: description, pages claims, Nos. drawings, sheets/ligs sequence listing (specify): table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	
	Supplements the country the country the country	sport has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below that a listed below the first that the description, pages claims, Nos. drawings, sheets/figs sequence listing (specify): table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	
	* If ite	em 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "supergoded"	

INTERM TIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PA'I ENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/000125

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-9

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-9

No: Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

Claims

1-9

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

INTL. NATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International a

International application No.

PCT/GB2005/000125

Re Item V.

1 Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO 03/031456 A (SOLVIAS AG; BERENS, ULRICH) 17 April 2003 (2003-04-17)

D2 : ARGOUARCH G ET AL: "A New Class of Ferrocene-Based 1,2-Bis(phosphanes) Possessing only Planar Chirality" EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF ORGANIC CHEMISTRY, WILEY-VCH VERLAG, WEINHEIM, DE, 2000, pages 2893-2899, XP002196647 ISSN: 1434-193X

D3: US 6 194 593 B1 (IMAMOTO TSUNEO ET AL) 27 February 2001 (2001-02-27)

2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

The preparation method according to D1 claims 1-4 (part a) includes the preparation of chiral ligands. The claims also contains the option of a different definition for Z*3 and Z*4 or T (in the case of claim 4). However there is no example in D1 of such compound and preparation method therefor.

The subject-matter according to claim 1 is, therefore novel.

In view of the above document D1, the applicant argues that independent claim 1 is inventive for the following reasons:

- the claimed process is a selection from the general description in claim 1 of D1 but the selection is not obvious due to the surprising high yields of compounds having a chirality on the phosphine centre and which enantiomer is produced in excess as demonstrated by the examples, when the reagent CI-P(NMe₂)₂ is replaced by an analogous compound in which the non halogen substituents are different from each other

Furthermore, the analogy to example 5, namely to replace reagent CI-P (NMe2)2 by an analogous compound in which the non-halogen substituents are different from each other

INTE..NATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2005/000125

is itself not trivial. The reagent taught in D1, example 5 is a commercially available reagent, whereas reagents of the type CI-PR1R2 are not commercially available and, moreover, are difficult to make. Thus, the skilled person has no obvious motivation for replacing the reagent taught in example 5 of D1 with an "analogous compound". To do so would require significant technical skill on the part of the skilled person, and it is unlikely that such effort would be undertaken given that D1 makes no suggestion or disclosure of the possible benefits in terms of the resulting excess ratio of a specifically handed phosphine group.

Yet another reason why the skilled person would not be inclined to take example 5 of D1 as a starting point for arriving at the process of the claimed invention is that the ligand taught in example 5 is in fact a ligand precursor. It will be noted in this connection that, having made the thiophene ligand of example 5, the very next step taught by D1 (in example 6) is to remove the two dimethylamino groups. That is hardly a good starting point for arriving at the applicant's claimed invention, in which the handedness of the phosphine group, with its two different substituents is all important.

3 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-9

The combination of the features of dependent claims 2-9 9 are neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art. The reasons are the connection with an acceptable claim 1 and the absence of the features "chiral auxiliary" and "R1"-bearing Grignard reagent or organolithium compound" in the cited prior art.